UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	V. Reuben Olsen III Defendant	Case No. 1:12 Cr 42		
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform efendant be detained pending trial.	ո Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclu	de that these facts require	
	Part I – Findi	ngs of Fact		
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 1 a federal offense a state or local offense that existed – that is			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.	S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is dea	ath or life imprisonment.		
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ter	years or more is prescribed in:	*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been of U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or		ral offenses described in 18	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involv	res:		
	the possession or use of a firearm or on a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §		ngerous weapon	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while or local offense.	the defendant was on release pe	nding trial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).			
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption person or the community. I further find that defendant has	sumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe dant has not rebutted that presumption.		
	Alternative F	indings (A)		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant ha	is committed an offense		
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or n Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.		.*	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establis will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and t		n or combination of conditions	
1	Alternative F			
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear			
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger t	•	community.	
1	Part II – Statement of the		alogrand convincing	
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the day a preponderance of the evidence that:	etention nearing establishes by	clear and convincing	
defenda	nt is a 22-year-old man whose life displays no indicia of s He has never really held employment but has lived on S			

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

history of mental and emotional problems and abuses controlled substances. The most persuasive evidence of his unfitness for bond arises from his repeated failures to comply with conditions of bond and probation from 2007 through 2010 in relation to a felony charge in Montcalm County, where he continued drug use, absconded from a court-ordered placement, failed to

report, and committed new offenses while on supervision.

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	February 15, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title:	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge